



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/253,153	02/19/1999	ALAN W. SCHWABACHE		5283

7590

11/05/2002

KAROLINE K M SHAIR
CHOATE HALL & STEWART EXCHANGE PLACE
53 STATE STREET
BOSTON, MA 02109

EXAMINER

BAKER, MAURIE GARCIA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1639

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/253,153

Applicant(s)
Schwabacher

Examiner
Maurie G. Baker, Ph.D.

Art Unit
1639



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Maurie G. Baker, Ph.D.

(3) _____

(2) Hunter Baker

(4) _____

Date of Interview Nov 4, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: Draft claims filed via fax.

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) N/A

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed draft claims sent via fax on 11/4/02. The examiner stated that the draft claims were likely to be rejected over the same art as in the last Office Action as the suggested changes do not appear to impart any patentable difference to the claims.

The examiner stated that applicant's arguments and amendments would be fully considered when the official response is filed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

MAURIE G. BAKER, PH.D.
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1639

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required